

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/602,247	06/23/2000	Ashok Kuppusamy Seattle	44431/233637(2715)MS#1494 9371	
27488	7590 11/19/2004		EXAMINER	
MICROSOFT CORPORATION			NGUYEN BA, PAUL H	
	HANT & GOULD, L.L.C		C	
P.O. BOX 29	903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPO	DLIS, MN 55402-0903	2176		
			DATE MAIL FD: 11/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/602,247	SEATTLE ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul Nguyen-Ba	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the approp unt of the fee. The approp originally set in the final O	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension ffice action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:	•				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	mendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:	·				
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen					
10. Other:		JOSEPH FEILD VISORY PATENT EX	AMINER		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Interpretation Rules Base of Razin does not teach away from formatting runs less than a predetermined minumum number. The example interpretation rule described in Razin actually sets a predetermined maximum formatting run length, not a minimum. Therefore, in view of Felt, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to set the interpretation rule of maximum length of text to infinite or to disable that criteria in order to allow the interpretation rules to find the smallest section of text within the document having the same formatting attributes as taught by Felt.